[Shri Matangsinh]

Government Business during the week commencing 7th August, 1995, will consist of:-

1. Further consideration and passing of the Trade Marks Bill, 1995, as passed by Lok Sabha.

2. Discussion on the Resolution seeking disapproval of the Payment of Bonus (Amendment) Ordinance, 1995 and consideration and passing of the Payment of Bonus (Amendment) Bill, 1995.

3. Discussion on the Resolutions seeking disapproval of the following Ordinances and consideration and return of the Bills replacing these Ordinances, as passed by Lok Sabha:-

(a) The Union Duties of Excise (Distribution) Amendment Ordinance, 1995.

(b) The Additional Duties of Excise (Goods of Special Importance) Amendment Ordinance, 1995.

4. Consideration and passing of the Indian Telegraph (Amendment) Bill, 1995, as passed by Lok Sabha.

5. Discussion on the Resolutions seeking disapproval of the following Ordinances and consideration and passing of the Bills replacing these Ordinances, as passed by Lok Sabha:—

(a) The Sick Textile Undertakings (Nationalisation) Amendment Ordinance, 1995.

(b) The Textile Undertakings (Nationalisation) Ordinance, 1995.

6. Consideration and return of the Jammu and Kashmir Appropriation (No. 2) Bill, 1995, as passed by Lok Sabha.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN in the Chair

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Now, we have the statement on the Enron Power Project at Dabhol, Maharashtra. The Minister is here. We have half-an-hour. At the moment, we have half-an-hour, unless the House agrees to give more time. I have thirteen names before me. The first name in the list is that of Shri Gurudas Das Gupta. Then, we have Shri Digvijay Singh, but he is not here. Then we have Mr. Malkani's name here. Mr. Malkani wants to leave early; I think he can. Shri Gurudas Das Gupta also. The other names I have here are: Shri Chaturanan Mishra, Shri John Fernandes, Shri Jagesh Desai, Shri Jaipal Reddy, Shri G. Swaminathan, Shri Jagdish Prasad Mathur, Shri Inder Kumar Gujral, Shri E. Balanandan, Shri Anant Ram Jaiswal and Shri Satya Prakash Malaviya. These are the names before me.

श्री ईश दत्त यादव (उत्तर प्रदेश): मैडम, हमारा भी?

उपसभापति: नहीं, जो नाम थे, वे बोल रही हूं, आपने अगर नाम दिया था तो आना चाहिए था। अगर दिया नहीं है तो दे दीजिए, पर समय का सवाल है।

SHRI SATISH AGARWAL (Rajasthan): Madam, is it a *suo motu* statement? *(Interruptions)*

Nobody demanded any statement from the hon. Minister.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Members have demanded it in the House. *(Interruptions)*

SHRI S. JAIPAL REDDY (Andhra Pradesh): It is a *suo motu* statement.

SHRI CHATURANAN MISHRA (Bihar): He has got the right to make a *suo motu* statement.

STATEMENT BY MINISTERS

Enron Power Project at Dabhol in Maharashtra

THE MINISTER OF POWER (SHRI N.K.P. SALVE): Madam Deputy Chairman, A fax message was received yesterday by the Minister of Power from Secretary (Energy), Government of Maharashtra purporting to be the statement made by the Chief Minister of Maharashtra in the State Legislature in respect of the Enron Power Project at Dabhol in Maharashtra. The statement

has been made in Marathi. The long statement in its totality requires analysis in depth which is being carried out. The statement refers to the decision taken by the Government of Maharashtra to repudiate the contract and to stop the work at site in respect of first phase of the Dabhol project and to exercise its option to cancel and 2nd phase of the project, which decision, as stated in the statement, appears to have been taken on the following five main considerations:-

(i) No competitive bidding was resorted to before awarding the project to M/s Dabhol Power Corporation (DPC);

(ii) The transactions with DPC were clouded in secrecy and there was no apparent transparency about them;

(iii) There was no serious concern for environmental factors;

(iv) The project was approved at an unrealistic capital cost; and

(v) The tariff allowed was an escalating one which was against the interests of the State and consumers.

The above five rounds appear to be substantially similar to the ones raised in writ petitions filed in Bombay and Delhi High Courts and the Supreme Court of India which had upheld the validity of the contracts entered into with M/s DPC. While the matter is essentially between the Govt. of Maharashtra, its Electricity Board and M/s DPC, the Central Government is directly concerned to the extent of its liabilities under the counter-guarantees given in favour of M/s DPC and generally about the possible fall out of the decision of the Maharashtra Government on the investment climate in India. The implications of the decision taken by the Government of Maharashtra referred to in the statement including the legal implications of the counter-guarantee require and are under examination, in detail.

SHRI S. JAIPAL REDDY (Andhra Pradesh): Madam...

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Now, I have the names here and I will go according to the names. Shri Gurudas Das Gupta. I would also make a request, right at the beginning, to please be brief and to the point so that everybody could be accommodated.

विपक्ष के नेता (श्री सिकन्दर बख्त): सदर साहिबा, मैं यह अर्ज कर रहा था कि आज जिन हजरात को बोलने के लिए बुलाएंगी, मेरा कहना यह था कि इसके लिए फुलफ्लिेज्ड डिस्कशन के लिए दिन मुकर्रर हो जाए किस दिन हो सकता है। क्योंकि यह स्टेटमेंट भी नामुकम्मिल है। इस वक्त के डिस्कशन के बाद अगर यह समझा जाए कि यह सब डिस्कशन के काबिल नहीं रहा तो मेरी तजवीज यह है कि इस पर फुलफ्लिेज्ड डिस्कशन हो और उसके लिए वक्त मुकर्रर किया जाए।

*شری سکندر بخت : صدر صاحبہ - میں یہ عرض کر رہا تھا کہ آج جن حضرات کو بولنے کیلئے بلائینگی میرا کہنا یہ تھا کہ اس کیلئے "فل فلیج ڈسکشن" کیلئے دن مقرر ہو جائے کس دن ہو سکتا ہے کیونکہ یہ اسٹیٹمینٹ بھی نامکمل ہے۔ اس وقت کے ڈسکشن کے بعد اگر یہ سمجھا جائے کہ یہ سب ڈسکشن کے قابل نہیں رہا تو میری تجویز یہ ہے کہ اس پر "فل فلیج ڈسکشن" ہو اور اس کے لئے وقت مقرر کیا جائے

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: You see, some Members had raised this issue in the morning before the Chairman, and they wanted to raise it in the Zero Hour, considering that it has appeared in the newspapers today. Chairman Saheb was subsequently informed by the Government that there was going to be a statement by the Minister of Power. The practice in our House is, if he makes a statement in the Lok Sabha, he makes a statement here also. When he makes a statement here, the Members have a right to seek clarifications. At this moment I am not in a position to

*[] Transliteration in Arabic Script

[The Deputy Chairman]

say when we can have a discussion, but, having said that, you can ask Chairman Saheb to allocate time for a discussion if you want. If he feels there is time and if the Business Advisory Committee also does so, no problem. But, at the moment, let those who have given their names to seek clarifications do so.

श्री सुषमा स्वराज (हरियाणा): मेरी यह आशंका है कि कहीं यह तर्क देकर डिस्कशन टल न जाए कि इस पर क्लेरिफिकेशन पूछ लिए गए हैं।

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: That I can't say.

श्री सुषमा स्वराज: हमारी उस आशंका का समाधान हो जाए तो स्पष्टीकरण भी चलें....(व्यवधान)

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: I cannot give that *samadhan.* But let us not waste more time.

SHRI N.K.P. SALVE: Madam, talking with reference to what the Leader of the Opposition has said, at this stage I could not go beyond this. However, it is for you and for the Chairman to decide if there has to be a discussion. Whenever there is a discussion, we are ready.

SHRI SIKANDER BAKHT: The statement itself is totally incomplete.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Whatever he has brought, those who do not want to ask questions, they are free not to ask. But those who want to seek some clarifications, they are also free to seek them. I cannot force anybody to seek clarifications or not to seek. Those who want to withdraw are free to withdraw.

SHRI GURUDAS DAS GUPTA (West Bengal): Madam, the statement is not so innocuous and innocent. The statement seeks to take refuge behind the judgements of a number of courts. At the end the hon. Minister who is also lawyer by profession, takes the mischievous path of referring to the argument that was placed before the courts. By implication he says that all the points that have been raised have since been knocked out in the courts and that therefore, there is no validity in the argument that has been given by the Government of Maharashtra. Therefore, Madam, this is not an innocent statement: it is a mischievous statement. I will not go into that further.

I congratulate the Government of Maharashtra for the courageous stand it has taken in repudiating the most anti-national contract made by the earlier Government of Maharashtra led by Mr. Sharad Pawar, which was ultimately defeated in the polls, in conjunction with the Power Minister and in conjunction with many other people who are prescribed by power brokers. Therefore, what the present Maharashtra Government had done is a step in the right direction. I congratulate it.

I congradulate the Government of Maharashtra also because this nails down an apprehension in the country that was deliberately circulated. What was said is that if a contract was signed, that was final and that no review of a contract made, could be done. This for the first time that a contract, legal or illegal, legitimate or illegitimate, in the interest or against the interest of the country, after it was made was revoked. This opens up the possibility of reviewing of such contracts, wherever they might have been entered into in any part of the country. Therefore, Madam, I demand a review of the contracts with power giants that have been signed in the country, irrespective of the location of the projects. It should be done everywhere.

Madam, multinationals do come to our country not for charity, I know. They want profit. We are also ready to give them profit, but, if they would like to make super profit at the cost of our national interest, we shall oppose that. Therefore, the question is not whether we are against or in favour of foreign investment. We want foreign investment. We want investment in power. We want investment in production. But, we do not want investment for speculation. Neither do we want investment that injures

India's national interest. Therefore, what has been done by the Government of Maharashtra gives a clear signal. It should be taken note of by all the political forces in the country that the country cannot be raped, that the national interest of the country cannot be raped, neither can the wealth of the country be looted. This is what has been done.

While saying so—I demand a review—I am of the opinion that this was an illegitimate contract. It was done in the shortest possible time. Possibly, no mind could have been applied within such a short period of time.

Secondly, who are the partners of the contract? They are Enron which has the largest equity capital, the General Electric and Bechtel. These three companies are the manufacturers. They will bring the money. They will supply the machines. They will bring the liquid fuel also. Therefore, who is there to take care if substandard machinery is brought? Who is there to take care if substandard fuel is brought? Who is there to take care whether it has been overrun or not? Who is there to take care that in the name of doing business, India's national interest is not jeopardised? Therefore, it is a unique combination of cartels who have been there not only to make money, but to loot the country.

Lastly, Madam, the hon. Minister of Power while responding to the Calling Attention Motion, he has stated, "It is a State subject. I can only issue the guidelines. Nothing more." I hope he remembers that. If that was the stand he had taken when Mr. Sharad Pawar was in power, he has to take the same stand now also even if there is a different political combination of forces in power. He has to take that into consideration also. There cannot be a double standard.

Lastly, Madam,.....

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: How many "last's" do you have?

How long you are going to last?

SHRI GURUDAS DAS GUPTA: Very last.

SHRI S. JAIPAL REDDY: Madam, if you are going to be the Deputy Chairman for hundred years from now.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Why do you wish all hundred years over here?

SHRI GURUDAS DAS GUPTA: Madam, lastly, this is an illegitimate contract because the tariff calculation was done in foreign currency. It is wrong. Even now it is being accepted is wrong. Who has given them the right to calculate it? Not only the question of guarantee and counter-guarantee but the whole thing was a manoeuvre to take advantage of India's distress power condition. There were people in the corridors of power to move in unison with them to loot the country. This will not be tolerated. Madam, therefore, I congratulate the Government of Maharashtra for the position they have taken. I call upon all the Governments in the country to review all the power projects that have been done in cooperation with similar other multinational institutions. Thank you, Madam.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Shri Digvijay Singh. Absent. Shri Malkaniji.

श्री सत्य प्रकाश मालवीय (उत्तर प्रदेश): महोदया, हम मौजूद हैं न।

उपसभापति: आप दिग्विजय थोड़ा ही हैं। आपका नाम मेरे पास है। आप नम्बर से बोलिएगा।

श्री सत्य प्रकाश मालवीय: जब दिग्विजय सिंह जी नहीं है तो हमें बोलने दीजिए।

उपसभापति: आप किसी भी हालत में क्वैरीज़ के अंदर दिग्विजय सिंह नहीं बन सकते। डिस्कशन में आपको दिग्विजय सिंह मान सकती हूं।

SHRI S. JAIPAL REDDY: By any standard you cannot become Digvijay Singh.

SHRI JAGESH DESAI (Maharashtra): Madam, when we received the notice at 2 O'clock, myself and my colleague, Mr. Fernandes gave

[Shri Jagesh Desai]

our names to seek clarifications. Even before the receipt of the notice, I had kept my name slip ready. How is it our names have not been called first? How has it happened? I cannot understand.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: I have the papers, I will ask them to examine them. Okay.

SHRI G. SWAMINATHAN (Tamilnadu): Madam, when I gave my name, I was the third Member. But in the list it is shown at serial number ten. I do not know how.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: It is the Secretariat which prepares the list. On behalf of the Secretariat, I can assure you one thing that nobody has any malafide intention to change the names.

SHRI S. JAIPAL REDDY: We shall not discuss about it. How does it matter who starts first?

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: They put the time on every/slip and prepare the list. Nobody has got any intention to change it.

SHRI MAKHAN LAL FOTEDAR (Uttar Pradesh): Madam, you have decided that we can put questions up to 2.30 P.M. Suppose, all are not able to put their questions, can we take it up on Monday or today after 5.00 P.M.?

SHRI S. JAIPAL REDDY: Madam, by common consent we have decided that without Private Members' Business we will finish.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Up to 3.00 P.M. we can discuss it, but not beyond that. If every Member takes appropriate time by my standard not by your standard, then, I am sure every Member will be accommodated.

SHRI G. SWAMINATHAN: What about Members who come in the last?

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Appropriate time for them too.

SHRI K.R. MALKANI (Delhi): Madam Chairperson, I congratulate the Government of Maharashtra and the people of Maharashtra for cancelling this agreement. They have shown the way to the entire developing world, they have shown to the world that India can stand up. Maharashtra has given the lead. I salute the people of Maharashtra and the Government of Maharashtra. I have read the hon. Minister's statement. The hon. Minister said that the Maharashtra Chief Minister's statement is in Marathi and it is being translated. I hope, Mr. Salve is a Maharashtrian and knows Marathi and could have got the entire text on Fax immediately. Minister has also said that the five grounds are substantially similar to the ones raised in the writ petitions in the Bombay and Delhi High Courts and the Supreme Court of India which he said had upheld the validity of the contract. This is utterly misleading. The main point raised in these writ petitions was about transparency. They said that the company must make it public and the Court, in its wisdom, that is all I can say, said that the agreement will be available in the Speaker's Chamber and the MLAs can go and have a look at it. That was the major issue before the Court and nothing else.

Sir, for days together, the Press, particularly, the "Economic Times", the "Financial Express" and the "Business Standard", have been carrying detailed reports on the nature of the Maharashtra Government decision. I am surprised that the hon. Minister was not aware of it and is not ready to react to it today.

Many, many questions arise. I wish the Minister had had the wisdom to welcome the action of the Maharashtra Government as most of the people have done. Apart from a few big businessmen who are naturally very cautious because they are close to the Government, all others have welcomed it. (*Interruptions*) The "Economic Times" says ...(*Interruptions*)

Mr. Singla, don't interrupt me. (*Interruptions*)

SHRI SURINDER KUMAR SINGLA (Punjab): You cannot behave like this. (*Interruptions*) This is most objectionable. (*Interruptions*) Madam, the Member cannot behave like this. What is this? (*Interruptions*) He has got RSS training to misbehave with people. Mr. Malkani, you must learn how to behave. (*Interruptions*)

SHRI K.R. MALKANI: The Press today has reported and I quote. "Industry was taken by surprise over Maharashtra Government's decision to scrap the Enron power project. While some offered mild comments, others preferred to take refuge in the excuse that they would have to study the papers before comment. Behind this veil, however, many industrial houses indicated unconcealed glee over Enron's predicament because the national patriotic feeling is rising above bourgeoisie patriots/and our own industrialists' feeling is, let us be Indian, buy Indian and make Indian." Sir, there is a report in the "Financial Express" of 3rd August, 1995 and I quote: "Sensing defeat Congress said a hasty "Yes" to Enron. The report says: "From the last week of January to Ist of March, the Maharashtra Government moved at lightning speed." I would like to emphasise the words "lightning speed." "It says that flurry of activities was seen with Enron moving heaven and earth to reach a position for claiming that it had fulfilled all the conditions precedent." All kinds of extraordinary things have happened--things happening overnight. Mr. Salve's own erstwhile colleague, Mr. Arjun Singh has said and the Financial Express of July 27, has said I quote: "Arjun wants kickbacks in Enron case to be disclosed." Sir, there is a very,very interesting report. (*Interruptions*)

उपसभापतिः आपका क्या सवाल है वह बताइये। दूसरों का सवाल क्यों कर रहे हो।

(व्यवधान)

SHRI SURINDER KUMAR SINGLA: He has nothing to say except the newspaper report. (*Interruptions*)

SHRI K.R. MALKANI: The CIA, says the Asian Age of Aug. 3rd had a big hand in organising this whole contract. (*Interruptions*)

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Mr. Malkani, may I guide you a little bit in this respect? On this kind of a statement or on any statement which is made before the House, if there is a discussion, you can take up all these issues. But on a statement like this, please confine yourself to the statement because you have a very limited time.

SHRI K.R. MALKANI: Madam, Chairperson, the hon. Minister does not seem to be aware of these reports. Let him study these reports and clarify. I will conclude in half-a-minute. The Enron company has got powerful supporters including a former President of America and a former Secretary of State. A Similar situation arose in Philippines. There the Government had agreed to the Enron Company setting up a plant. When there was a huge protest in the country over the unfair terms of the country, all the seven members of the Philippines National Power Corporation resigned. I hope and pray that the people who have been guilty of signing this very dubious agreement are also asked to resign. Thank You.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Mr. Chaturanan Mishra.

श्री चतुरानन मिश्र (बिहार): महोदया, जो एनरान के साथ अपमानजनक समझौता हुआ था, उसको जब कल रद्द कर दिया गया तो मुझे बेहद खुशी हुई। खुशी इसलिए भी हुई क्योंकि हमारे जो बी०जे०पी० के मित्र हैं, जब भारत सरकार ने नयी आर्थिक नीति की घोषणा की थी, उन्होंने कहा था कि यह नीति हम से चुरा ली गई है। ...(**व्यवधान**)...आपने कहा था कि कांग्रेस ने चुरा लिया। अब चोर को भी मालूम हो गया। ...(**व्यवधान**)...कि उसने क्या चुराया और आपको भी मालूम आपकी क्या चोरी हुई थी। इसलिए हम इसमें और भी ज्यादा खुश हैं।

अब जहां तक साल्वे साहब का ताल्लुक है तो इनको तो हमने कई बार वार्न किया कि आप यह काम

[श्री चतुरानन मिश्र]

क्यों कर रहे हैं। आप उस पार्टी के मेंबर है जिसने इस देश को आजाद किया। उस पार्टी का आदमी इतने अपमानजनक तर्क करे, हमको यह कभी जंचा नहीं। लेकिन फिर भी इन्होंने किया और आज यह साबित हो गया है कि भारत में वायब्रेंट डेमोक्रेसी है। यह भी दुनिया के सामने साबित हो गया कि यह झूठ प्रचार जो आप कर रहे थे कि यहां नेशनल कांग्रेस नयी आर्थिक नीति के बारे में है, यह भी सफेद झूठ सिद्ध हो गया है। यह भी साबित हो गया है कि भारत में सिर्फ सेंट्रल गवर्नमेंट नहीं, अंडर दि कांस्टिट्यूशन स्टेट गवर्नमेंट्स भी हैं, जिनको कुछ विषयों पर एडिक्वेट पावर है। जब हम कह रहे थे तब आप नहीं सुन रहे थे। अब हम आपसे एक-एक करके प्रश्न पूछना चाहेंगे।

क्या आप इस बात की गारंटी करेंगे कि अब जो भी समझौता होगा वह कम्पीटेटिव तरीके से होगा, चुपके नहीं होगा? जो आपने इसको किया है यह गलत काम है? दूसरा यह कि जो भी समझौता किया जाएगा उसमें ट्रान्सपरेंसी होगी ताकि राष्ट्र जान सके कि यह समझौता हो रहा है। आपने यह छुपा करके देश के साथ अच्छा नहीं किया था। तीसरी बात यह है कि इसमें कैपिटल कास्ट और टैरिफ वगैरह की बात है वह भी आपने ज्यादा ऊंची कर दी है। अब मैं चाहूंगा कि हमारे मिनिस्टर लोग जो दौड़ दौड़ कर विदेश जाते हैं और बहुराष्ट्रिकों को ले आते हैं ऐसा न करके इसके लिए आप वर्ल्ड टेंडर इनवाइट करें। इससे जिस देश का आना है वह आएगा और यह सब के सामने किया जाएगा। तब इसकी जिम्मेदारी न आपके ऊपर होगी और न हमारे ऊपर होगी। ....(व्यवधान)....

हम सब के लिए कह रहे हैं। आपको अपने बारे में ज्यादा महसूस हो रहा है। ....(व्यवधान) ....हम तो बंगाल में डटे हुए हैं लेकिन आप महाराष्ट्र से गए और यहां से भी गए। आप कहीं के भी नहीं रहे हैं। जब आप बोल रहे हैं तो आखिर में मैं आपको एक कहानी सुना दूंगा। ....(व्यवधान) ....

इसलिए विश्व टेंडर किया जाए विदेशी पूंजी लाने के लिए और दूसरी बात यह है कि सभी पोलिटिकल पार्टीज की मीटिंग बुलायी जाए और दो चीजें तय कर ली जायें कि किस किस सेक्टर में विदेशियों को आने देंगे जो हमारे राष्ट्रीय हित में हों और ब्राडली क्या टर्म्स, एग्रीमेंट्स और कंडीशंस होंगे इसको सब पार्टियां मिलकर तय करें। नहीं तो सवाल उठेगा, जिसका आपको ही जवाब देना है। आपके पुराने मित्र अर्जुन सिंह ने कहा कि किकबैक्स भी हुई। हम को पता नहीं है लेकिन उस वक्त वे आपके साथ थे। कहीं तो गिनती-विनती में रहे होंगे। तब तो ठीक ही कहा है (व्यवधान)

श्री माखनलाल फोतेदार: वह गिनती नहीं करते हैं। गिनती यह लोग करते है (व्यवधान)

श्री जागेश देसाई: जब थे तब तो ऐसी बात नहीं कहते थे (व्यवधान)

श्री माखनलाल फोतेदार: उस वक्त भी करते थे (व्यवधान)

श्री चतुरानन मिश्र: हमारे टाइम में काहे को बोल रहे हैं (व्यवधान) यह तो हमारा अलाटेड टाइम है।

SHRI MAKHAN LAL FOTEDAR: Madam, a Minister cannot say anything publicly against the decision of the Cabinet. But, without disclosing any secrets, he used to raise all there points with the Prime Minister from time to time.

SHRI JAGESH DESAI: Had I been in place of Shri Arjun Singh, I would have first left the Government and then would have raised those issues. (*Interruptions*).

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: It is not a part of the.....(*Interruptions*). This matter between Shri Jagesh Desai and Shri Fotedar is not a part of the statement which has been made by Shri N.K.P. Salve. So, please keep it out of the statement. Mr. Mishra, please conclude.

श्री चतुरानन मिश्र: हमने सिर्फ इतना ही कहा था गिनती देखना और पॉकेट में रखना दो काम हैं। अगर किसी ने देखा है और कहता है तो वह कह दे, इसमें हमको कोई एतराज़ नहीं है। कब अंतिम बात, हमारा टाइम भी हो गया होगा।

उपसभापति: हो गया।

श्री चतुरानन मिश्र: अब कहानी कह देता हूं। (व्यवधान)

SHRI S. JAIPAL REDDY: We are not prepared to miss your story.

श्री चतुरानन मिश्र: यह महाभारत की कहानी है। साल्वे साहब, आपको कह देता हूं, आपके ही साथ होगा। एक पंडित जी चले जा रहे थे। उन्हें रास्ते में एक खोपड़ा, मुंड दिखाई पड़ा। उस मुंड पर कुछ लिखा हुआ

था कि इसको और कुछ होना है। पंडित ने सोचा यह मर गया, खोपड़ा बन गया, अब इसको क्या होना है, उठा कर घर में रख दिया। घर में रख दिया, रोज़ देखते रहे कि खोपड़े को कुछ हुआ है या नहीं। रोज़ उसी को देखते रहे। उनकी बीवी को बहुत गुस्सा आया कि अब हम को तो देखते ही नहीं हैं, सिर्फ खोपड़े को देखते हैं। जब एक रोज़ पंडित जी बाहर चले गये तो उसने खोपड़े को उखल में रखकर कूट-काट कर, चूर-चूर कर फैंक दिया। जब पंडित जी वापिस घर आए तो पूछा कि खोपड़े का क्या हुआ। उनकी बीवी ने कहा कि खोपड़े को उसने चूर-चूर करके फैंक दिया है। तो पंडित जी ने कहा कि उसमें यही लिखा हुआ था कि चूर-चूर करके फैंका जाएगा। अब कांग्रेस पार्टी के खोपड़े में यही लिखा हुआ था कि इसको चूर-चूर कर के फैंका जाएगा। इसमें भी यही हुआ है (व्यवधान)

श्री माखनलाल फोतेदार: इसमें पंडित जी कौन है (व्यवधान)

श्रीमती वीणा वर्मा (मध्य प्रदेश): उपसभापति महोदय, ढाई बजे प्राइवेट मैम्बर्स का समय है (व्यवधान)

उपसभापति: प्राइवेट मैम्बर्स बिजनेस के लिए हाऊस से परमिशन ले ली है कि तीन बजे लेंगे। मगर अब यह आधे में कैसे पूरा होगा, मुझे नहीं मालूम। अभी चार लोग हुए हैं और 11 नाम बाकी हैं (व्यवधान)

श्री माखनलाल फोतेदार: मेरा भी नाम है।

उपसभापति: आपका नाम भी ऐड करती हूं। (व्यवधान)

श्री इन्द्र कुमार गुजराल (बिहार): मंडे को करिये (व्यवधान)

श्री सुरेश पचौरी (मध्य प्रदेश): महोदया, स्पीकर्ज़ की संख्या को देखते हुए लगता नहीं है कि तीन बजे तक यह हो पाएगा कृपया इंट्रोडक्शन करा दीजिये।

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: I will stop it at 3 o'clock and then take up the Private Members' Legislative Business. Shri John F. Fernandes.

SHRI JOHN F. FERNANDES (GOA): Since the liberalisation of economy three years back, the Prime Minister of our country has been doing the work of a salesman overseas. Madam, only yesterday he made a statement in Malaysia saying that whatever is the policy of the present Government will be continued by the next Government. We have seen what has happened in Maharashtra. It will send bad signals. There was a change of Government and we have seen what has taken place.

SHRI INDER KUMAR GUJRAL: The Prime Minister should not commit us.

SHRI JOHN F. FERNANDES: Madam, I am referring to the statement made by the hon. Minister. The hon. Minister has mentioned in the statement that a fax message was received. In the last paragraph the hon. Minister has mentioned:

> "The Central Government is directly concerned to the extent of its liabilities under the counter-guarantees...."

If this is the way we are going to conduct the affairs of the nation in relation to an international issue, we will be giving a dismal picture of India. We don't have a fool proof system. Whatever decision has been taken, political or otherwise, by the Maharashtra Government, the liability will lie on the Central Government because it is a co-guarantor in the project. This agreement was signed not only under the Indian laws but also under US laws which are very strong as far as damages are concerned. My request to the hon. Minister is that he should in future bring in a legislation to ensure that whenever we sign an MoU or an agreement with other countries, the Government of India should concur with the decision of the State Government concerned or before taking the decision, the Government of India should be consulted. Otherwise, we will become a laughing stock before the international community. Ultimately, it is not the Government of Maharashtra which will have a bad name in the eyes of the international community; it is the Government of India. My humble request to the hon. Minister is that he should give us a categorical assurance that the

[Shri John F. Fernandes]

Government will bring in such a legislation to show internationally that India means business and that it has fool proof laws to safeguard the interests of the international community.

Madam, I don't think there is something in the statement warranting celeberations or congratulations. The statement says that Phase-I of the project was suspended and Phase-II would be reconsidered. I don't think there is something very bad in the statement, as given to us. I don't know if there is some mistake in the message on fax. It will be cancelled, but no decision has been taken so far. This is only an announcement. I think better sense will prevail. The US company has taken a wise decision and it says that it is still open for discussion. If the hon. Member feels that there were certain kickbacks involved, that is a local matter and that can be settled. But it should not go against the interests of the nation. We know that for the development of any country. there is a need to develop the core sector. Power sector is one of the important sectors without which no industry can prosper in any country. I hope the hon. Minister will reply to my questions.

SHRI JAGESH DESAI: Madam Deputy Chairman, first of all, I would like to make it very, very clear that if there is corruption anywhere, it has to be rooted out. I have made my position very clear that though I would like the power sector to be in the public sector, because necessary resources are not available, it can be developed with private investment from the people of this country or with investments from foreign countries because without power you cannot utilise your industries to 100% capacity. If you don't do it, you will not have increased production and if there is no increased production, then the prices will go up and the people will be affected. I would like to make one point very clear. This Sub-committee of the Maharashtra Government was headed by such a person who, in his election campaign, said that if his party came to power, it would dump this project in the Arabian sea. If such a person is made the Chairman of this Committee, I don't think there would be fair consideration. I would not like to comment further on this matter. We are now told that the project was cleared in a very, very short time. Mr. Gurudas Das Gupta has pointed out this. As far as its MoU is concerned, it was signed in the month of June, 1992 and the contract was signed in December, 1993.

SHRI S. JAIPAL REDDY: You seek clarifications.

SHRI JAGESH DESAI: I know that. These points are raised by other hon. Members. I am asking the Minister to let me know whether they are correct or not ...(*Interruptions*). Mr. Jaipal Reddy, you are not to teach me. I know what should be asked.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: He is asking the Minister whether they are right or wrong.

SHRI JAGESH DESAI: Somebody else has said that there were certain kickbacks. I would like to know whether the Government would enquire of the concerned Maharashtra Minister to know whether any CID enquiry was undertaken and if so, whether such an enquiry established any kickbacks. I would like the Minister to obtain that information and place it before the House.

SHRI SURINDER KUMAR SINGLA: Whether they have accepted any kickbacks from other companies. ....(*Interruptions*)...

SHRI JAGESH DESAI: As far as I understand, in the Munde Committee report, there is no such mention at all. This is also what the Chief Minister of Maharashtra told the State Assembly and I will quote what he said: So, an MOU is an intent to discuss something. I would like to ask Mr. Manohar Joshi. He went to London and within two days he signed an MoU for an airport at Bombay! So, what I want to say is that an MOU is

nothing but an intention to say that we want to discuss about a particular project and after we are convinced that it is a viable project, we will go ahead with it. After 18 months and after various discussions at different stages, it was decided that Enron should be brought into the country.

So far as the inflation of cost is concerned, I am of the opinion that they should find out if the cost was inflated. And, if it was inflated, to that extent the project cost should be reduced and on the reduced cost only this 16% should be given. Now, this could have been done at a later stage, but not by cancellation of the contract. I feel that by cancelling the contract, there are damages that will have to be paid. This could have been avoided. If, at a later stage, it was found that the costs were inflated, without giving any kind of compensation, you could have asked them to reduce the cost of energy. Madam, I would like to point out that the Planning Commission had impressed upon generation of more power in the country and for that purpose only it had been expedited. If there has been something wrong done by anyone, punish him. What is wrong there? I am not able to understand that. Are we going to condemn it, if it is done in good time. This should be thought over. At the same time, I think there is a lesson to be learnt for the future and that is, that we should go in for global bidding and tender system. Otherwise, these kinds of insinuation will go on and our credibility will be lost. I don't know what will happen to investments in our country, after this thing has happened. So, I would like that the hon. Minister should throw light on the issues that I have raised.

SHRI S. JAIPAL REDDY: Madam, it was my good fortune or bad fortune to initiate the discussion on this question in this House. The statement given by our Minister is a non-statement. It is a non-statement. He has not said anything at all. The statement is laconic and cryptic. The very brevity of the statement is a clinching indication of the gargantuan guilt of this Government. I would like to know, Madam, as to how this policy, in the first place, came to be formed. The Minister said at that time that one K.P. Rao Committee was formed to suggest the policy. I pointed out to him that this Committee was formed in the context of returns to be fixed for the NTPC, not for privatisation of power. I would like to know whether the Government appointed any expert committee which evolved this policy. I also would like to know whether the matter was ever placed before the Cabinet Committee on Economic Affairs. This matter was never considered by any committee. This matter was never placed before any Cabinet Committee, particularly the Cabinet Committee on Economic Affairs. I would like to know...(*Interruptions*)

SHRI N.K.P. SALVE: The matter relating to opening up of the power sector to private parties?

SHRI S. JAIPAL REDDY: No.

SHRI N.P.K. SALVE: Then, which matter?

SHRI S. JAIPAL REDDY: The policy—a policy 2-part notification, variable cost, fixed cost, 16% return for 68.5% PLF and over and above that, 0.7 bonus for every percentage point. This is what policy is, Mr. Salve. Of course, you don't want to understand. You are capable of understanding.

SHRI N.K.P. SALVE: I don't have your brilliance.

SHRI S. JAIPAL REDDY: The only difficulty is you are more brilliant than me. Therefore, you can pretend not to understand.

As has been pointed out, we are in a federal country and power is a Concurrent Subject. Why was the matter not placed before the National

[Shri S. Jaipal Reddy]

Development Council? Have you got it endorsed by the NDC?

SHRI INDER KUMAR GUJRAL: By the Inter-State Council.

SHRI S. JAIPAL REDDY: Well, of course, they don't believe in Inter-State Council. Mr. Jagesh Desai was referring to the Planning Commission. When I quoted the comments made by the Energy Member of the Planning Commission, Mr. Salve, with his celebrated command on Queen's chaste pure English, referred to the Member as a "chap", on record as a "chap". This is the kind of respect this Government has for the Planning Commission.

Ms. Rebecca Marc stated that they have to spend 20 million dollars, U.S. doollars, for educating India on Dabhol Project.

SHRI MAKHAN LAL FOTEDAR: Not Indians. Indian politicians and bureaucrats.

SHRI S. JAIPAL REDDY: Read "Indians" for Indian politicians and officials. We all understand what the words mean in their respective contexts. So, what more clinching proof is required of the admission of kickbacks? Let Mr. Salve tell us whether the World Bank has not refused to finance this project. Let Mr. Salve tell us that the World Bank has not calculated to the effect that the foreign exchange out-go on this Project would be 900 million dollars annually, assuming that the cost of imported fuel would remain the same. Let Mr. Salve tell us whether the IDBI has not calculated that the guaranteed rate of return on the Dabhol Power Project would be more than 30%, could be up to 40%. Mr. Salve himself admitted here, on record in the House, that the rate of return on this Project would be anywhere between 29 to 30%.

Madam, he is talking of investment by multinationals. Where is the investment by Enron Project? The Project has been over-invoiced to the extent of 30 to 40%. Their equity is 30%. Rest of the money they are raising from the IDBI and the Indian capital market. This is the technology that you must learn that you must be able to set up a project without investment. This technology, Mr. Salve, you must learn from Enron. They have made no investment whatsoever. That is the point I want the Minister to address himself to.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: But if you give him a chance, they will be able to reply. If everybody is going to speak till 3 o'clock, when will he reply? ...(*Interruptions*)...

SHRI S. JAIPAL REDDY: Let the Minister tell us as to what the other project is which has been undertaken by any multinational in the history of free India whose foreign exchange rate has been protected against fluctuations for ever—for the next 20 years. Then, we have also protected against the fluctuation of the rate of the imported fuel. Is this the kind of policy that you embark upon? Somebody talks of wrong signals to MNCs. I think, for the first time, we gave the right signal to the MNCs. MNCs are welcome to India, but on India's terms, in a transparent way and not in a hush-hush manner...not in a manner that raises eyebrows of the Members, even of those who are in the ruling party. I know the kind of pinpricks, I would say, pangs of conscience of people like Shri Jagesh Desaiji, who have still faith in some of these old fossils of the Nehruvian era...

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Jagesh Desaiji, you have been called a fossil. You must complain against it...(*Interruptions*)... He is still very much alive....(*Interruptions*)...

SHRI S. JAIPAL REDDY: He knows I used the word in an extremely complimentary way.

Therefore, Madam, I want the Minister to come forth, not only give reply to my specific points—there would be another occasion for a full-dress debate—but let him tell us as to what direction our

Government will take after this. Our Plan target was 38,000 MW...

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Mr. Jaipal Reddy, I should say to you "please sit down".

SHRI S. JAIPAL REDDY: I would like the Minister to tell us as to what he would do to see that our Plan investment in power sector is increased. May I also end up by saying that our own Finance Minister, who is the high priest of liberalisation, who is your arch *guru*, warned the other day against depending on foreign investment for development of infrastructure? Mr. Salve's line is differing from Dr. Manmohan Singh's line. Thank you.

SHRI G. SWAMINATHAN: Madam, Mr. Jaipal Reddy, who is deeply involved in the subject of Enron Project, has asked several questions from the Minister. I hope the hon. Minister, who is here, will be able to reply to all the points, which are very important, that he has raised. The main point, on which I would like to dwell which in general, is that it has been said that the implications of the decision taken by the Maharashtra Government will create not only legal complications but also will have a fall-out on the investment by the multinational companies. Today, in the morning, I had an opportunity to see the BBC. They have said that thousands of crores of rupees were to be invested in this particular project, the Enron Project, and the decision of the Maharashtra Government, no doubt, will have an impact on future investments by the multinational companies in this country. Thereafter, they also said—Mr. Salve might have heard and seen—that the main objection to this project by the politicians in Maharashtra and by the Government of Maharashtra is that the decision was taken by the then Chief Minister of Maharashtra and the Central Power Minister in haste and that too when the election process was on. That was the thing that the BBC said. I am not able to understand it. You can verify this matter whether election process was on or not. I myself was surprised as to why this major project had been taken up when the elections were to be held soon and when you had not doubt in your mind that you would not be coming to power. Everybody knew that the Congress in Maharashtra was going to be defeated and the another Government was to be installed. In such a haste, you were going to conclude this project...(*Interruptions*)...

SHRI H. HANUMANTHAPPA (Karnataka): What about Tamil Nadu? ...(*Interruptions*)...

SHRI G. SWAMINATHAN: You have already complained against ...(*Interruptions*)...When we had our own arrangements with some foreign firms, you took the matter to court ...(*Interruptions*)... The court said the same thing. ..(*Interruptions*)... Now, it is my opportunity to tell your Minister the same thing. ...(*Interruptions*)...It was not only an agreement in haste. ...(*Interruptions*) They have made complaints of kickbacks. (*Interruptions*)...These matters have come in the newspapers and in the Parliament also. ..(*Interruptions*).. I am sorry to say that this is not an argument. Suppose, the Government of India had been very sincere, then they should not have had any implication of this nature. I also feel that it may have some implications. If they do not want that these implications should not be there in foreign countries, then they should have taken their own time to see that all are convinced on this matter. If all the parties had been asked to give their views about this matter, they would not have done this thing in haste. But, now to complain that there will be complications and this should not be scrapped, I think, is not proper. Another suggestion had been made was that it had been raised in the court and consequently it covered all the five matters of doubts. The court has not taken cognizance of these complaints. Sir, as I understand, the court has adjudicated the matter on

the legal aspect, whether the Government of Maharashtra had the right to enter into an agreement or not. That is what I understand. You have to definitely give a reply whether the court has gone into all the aspects which you have enumerated. All these five points have been agitating the minds of the people—mainly that it is one-to-one arrangement between Power and Enron Corporation and there has been no competitive bidding and the transactions were shrouded in secrecy. The company is saying that there is a difference between secrecy and confidentiality. There was confidentiality and no secrecy because there had been many discussions in the different fora where the policy was adumbrated. But the point is all these things were engineered by the Government of Maharashtra, by the officials, and the outsiders were not at all aware of what was going on inside. That is the main concern. They also said that this would be hazardous to the people of Maharashtra because environmental aspects have not been taken into consideration and for the future of the state it is not good because the unit price is not the right price, but it is much higher than what can be negotiated for. I hope the hon. Minister will give a reply to all these points because these are the points on which the whole issue has been taken up. The last point I would like to say is, Shri Gurudas Das Gupta said, all the Power contracts by States should be reviewed. The point is, all these contracts have to be reviewed by the successor Governments of the State. It was an agreement made by one State Government and the successor-Government is in its right to review it and can cancel it. Tomorrow, it cannot be reviewed by the Government of India, taking up all the contracts made by the State Government. I would only say that the successor-Government has got a right to review and it can review it. No review can be made by the Government of India of the agreements made by the State Governments or the Electricity Boards with the other companies. Otherwise, it will open the flood-gates of dispute and I do not agree to the suggestion because it is a State subject and the Centre cannot override the decisions and agreements made by the State Government. This is what I want to say. I very much welcome the decision taken by the Maharashtra Government. They have done the right thing and I congratulate them for what they have done. Thank you.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Now we have only very little time left, only two minutes left or three minutes left and I have nine names listed before me. There is no time because it is a Private Members' day and also Mr. Balram Jakhar has to make a statement. I will take the concurrence of the House. He was to make a statement at 5 o'clock because we were to have at 2.30 p.m. the Private Members' business and at 5.00 o'clock we could have had the statement. Now everything is being changed because of this clarification. The copies of the statement are not here at the moment. If the House so agrees, I could ask him to lay his statement on the Table of the House. Subsequently, the copies will be available and as we proceed, we will find the time for clarifications, if there are any. That is one thing. As regards the names, I will read out the names because we should not add any more names if we are going to do it on Monday.

SHRI H. HANUMANTHAPPA: Today we can do it.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: How do we do it? Okay, I can put your name. We have Shri Jagdish Prasad Mathur, Shri Inder Kumar Gujaral, Shri E. Balanandan, Shri Anant Ram Jaiswal, Shri Satya Prakash Malaviya, Shri Ish Dutt Yadav, Dr. Shrikant Ramchandra Jichkar, Shri Makhan Lal Fotedar, Shri Surinder Kumar Singla and Shri H. Hanumanthappa. So these are the names.

SHRI JAGDISH PRASAD MATHUR (Uttar Pradesh): It will be kept in the same order?

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Yes the same order.

3 P.M. **श्री जगदीश माथुर:** दो मिनट थे मेरे, मेरे को मिल जाते।

उपसभापति: आप दो मिनट में बोलते भी नहीं। सारी प्राब्लम यह है कि प्राइवेट मैम्बर्स बिल है, कुछ इंट्रोडक्शन्स है, लोग इंतजार में बैठे है। When would you like to take it up on Monday? Either the House can decide now or we can decide it later. On Monday, we will decide it.

SHRI SIKANDER BAKHT: When is the Government expected to come forward with their full-fledged statement?

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: First we have to find out about the time and then only we can ask the Government.

श्री सिकन्दर बख्त: इनका स्टेटमेंट कब आएगा?

उपसभापति: स्टेटमेंट तो आ गया।

श्री सिकन्दर बख्त: नहीं, फुल-फिलेज्ड स्टेटमेंट के लिए हमने कहा है। कब वह तर्जुमा वगैरह कर लेंगे, एनेलाइज कर लेंगे।

उपसभापति: जब तक हम लोग यह पूरा करलें,

Salve Saheb, I have read out the names of those who have been left and who want to seek clarifications, and I am closing the list. On Monday, I am not opening it again, and I am not going to add any more names. On Monday, we will find time and we will inform you in advance so that you will make yourself available to come before the House to give reply to all the queries made over here.

SHRI N.K.P. SALVE: Madam, I respectfully accept your decision...

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: The decision of the House.

SHRI N.K.P. SALVE: Yes, the decision of the House, as pronounced by you. I respectfully accept that. If I know in good time, I will be available, excepting, Madam, if it is that kind of information which Sikander Bakhtji is referring to that he wants translation of all these documents and the statement of the Chief Minister, then, for that what is very necessary is this. The statement of the Chief Minister is based, as I understand from the papers, on the basis of a report submitted by a Review Committee. We have requested the Maharashtra Government to send the report of the Review Committee so that we will know what was the material before them, on the basis of which this decision was taken because, Madam, I did not find from the statement of the Chief Minister any basis which he has disclosed in any detail excepting that he has enumerated the five grounds. If that is the kind of discussion that is to be there, Madam, then you will have to give me time till I receive the Review Committee's Report so that I will know the basis on which this decision has been taken.

SHRI MAKHAN LAL FOTEDAR: And you seek the assistance of the Leader of the Opposition also.

SHRI JAGDISH PRASAD MATHUR: And the analysis that you have promised.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Mathursaheb, what Mr. Salve is saying, as I have understood it, is this. The Review Committee Report, the basis on which the hon. Chief Minister of Maharashtra has made his statement for their coming out of the Enron project, is not with Mr. Salve. What objections they have put before the Maharashtra Government, until and unless Mr. Salve has got that complete report of the Committee, he says, it will not be proper for him to answer for somebody else.

SHRI SIKANDER BAKHT: This position which the Minister has taken is outside the scope of this statement. I wanted the things to remain confined to this statement. He says: "The statement has been made in Marathi. The long statement in its totality requires analysis in depth which is being carried out."

SHRI N.K.P. SALVE: Please read the last sentence.

SHRI SIKANDER BAKHT: I am concerned with this part. I want to know when you are going to finish your analysis. As you have yourself said, when are you going to come forward with a full-fledged analysed statement? That is what I wanted to know.

SHRI N.K.P. SALVE: That is the difficulty, Madam. If only he had read the last sentence, there was the answer available. That last sentence says: "The implications of the decision taken by the Government of Maharashtra referred to in the statement including the legal implications of the counter-guarantee require and are under examination, in detail."

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Anyway, Mr. Salve, we cannot allow those Members who have already asked to have a much bigger canvas to play on. Those Members whose names are there will speak only on whatever statement you have made today. Later on, when we have a discussion, you can come back with a detailed statement.

SHRI G. SWAMINATHAN: Madam, I only want a clarification those five points.

SHRI N.K.P. SALVE: I will answer the questions which you have asked.

SHRI G. SWAMINATHAN: He has stated five main points. You yourself had said about these five considerations.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: He is saying that. He is not shying away from that. He says that he would answer all the questions He said it. *(Interruptions)*

SHRI N.K.P. SALVE: Madam, I would answer each and every question to the best of my ability excepting this. I have said here. The Central Government is directly concerned to the extent of its liabilities under the counter-guarantees given by the Government of India. We have referred this matter to the Law Ministry to find out as to what is likely to be the liability of the Government of India in terms of the counter-guarantee. Madam, otherwise, I shall never shy away from responsibility. This is one place where I would like to come clean. The only thing is that on Monday, hon. Members must not say that I sould give information on all these things. I would only reply to the questions based on what has been given in this statement.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Mr. Salve, I have given my ruling on that. On this statement, on Monday, those Members whose names are left over today would be seeking clarifications confined to this statement and nothing else. You would reply to the clarifications on the same lines. This is part of the record. Thank you, Mr. Salve.

SHRI N.K.P. SALVE: Thank you very much, Madam.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Now, there is a statement to be made by Shri Balram Jakhar. Mr. Jakhar, you can lay it on the Table of the House.

Price Policy for Kharif Crops of 1995-96 Season

THE MINISTER OF AGRICULTURE (SHRI BALRAM JAKHAR): Madam Deputy Chairman, I beg to lay on the Table of the House a statement regarding Price Policy for Kharif Crops of 1995-96 season.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Sarojji, would you take over? Hon. Members: I thank you for your co-operations.

[The Vice-Chairman (Miss Saroj Khaparde) in the Chair]

THE PASSPORTS (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1995

श्री सत्य प्रकाश मालवीय (उत्तर प्रदेश): माननीय उपसभाध्यक्ष महोदया, मैं प्रस्ताव करता हूं कि पासपोर्ट अधिनियम, 1967 का और संशोधन करने वाले विधेयक को पुरःस्थापित करने की अनुमति दी जाए।

The Question was put and the motion was adopted